THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF REPLY TESTIMONY

OF

DAWN M. HIPP

November 2, 2009



DOCKET NO. 2009-326-C

State Universal Service Support of Basic Local Service Included in a Bundled Service Offering or Contract Offering

1		IT IS INCLUDED IN A BUNDLED OR CONTRACT SERVICE			
2		OFFERING?			
3	A.	No. However, as the State USF Fund Administrator, it is important that			
4		ORS clarify how COLR high cost State USF support is calculated.			
5	Q.	DOES THE ORS USE THE SAME METHODOLOGY TO CALCULATE			
6		COLR HIGH COST SUPPORT AS THE COMMISSION USED PRIOR TO			
7		2005?			
8	A.	Yes. The methodology ORS currently uses to calculate high cost State			
9		USF support is identical to how Commission staff calculated the high cost State			
10		USF support.			
11	Q.	HOW IS THE FUNDING FOR THE STATE UNIVERSAL SERVICE			
12		FUND CALCULATED?			
13	A.	In its Guidelines and Administrative Procedures, the Commission defined			
14		the size of the fund as:			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		The maximum size of the state USF (which includes provision for high cost and low income support) shall be the sum of the differences, for each ILEC carrier of last resort, for each of its designated state USF support service areas, between the cost of providing basic local exchange telecommunications services less the maximum amount (as approved by the Commission) it may charge for basic local exchange telecommunications service within each area and less any federal universal service support received for serving the same area ("high cost support component"), plus the cost of any state mandated support programs for low-income consumers such as Lifeline, as well as any appropriate administrative expenses.			
28		This Commission, in Order No. 2001-996, implemented a "phased in"			
29		approach to funding the state USF.			

Docket No. 2009-326-C

COST

1	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PHASED IN APPROACH TO THE HIGH			
2		SUPPORT CALCULATION.			
3	A.	According to the PSC Guidelines and Administrative Procedures,			
4		the high cost support component was to be implemented in three phases:			
5		(1) Initial Phase			
6		The high cost support component is equal to the revenue			
7		reductions made by the COLRs as a result of reduced tariffed rates			
8		approved by the PSC. The Initial Phase is limited to no more than			
9		one-third of the total fund approved by the Commission. The			
10		COLRs file cost study data to demonstrate that implicit support			
11		exits in the tariff rates proposed to be reduced. According to			
12		Footnote #2, p.5 of the PSC Guidelines and Administrative			
13		Procedures, "the High Cost Support shall be equal to the revenue			
14		reductions as the result of reduced tariffed rates approved by the			

(2) Second Phase

Commission."

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The Second Phase is limited to no more than two thirds of the total state USF. The high cost support component for the COLR is based on reduced tariffed rates and updated cost data which demonstrates implicit support exists in the tariffed rates proposed to be reduced.

(3) Subsequent Phases

22

1		Any Subsequent Phase allows the COLR to transition to full high				
2		cost support funding. The high cost support component for the				
3		COLR is based on reduced tariffed rates and updated cost data				
4		which demonstrates implicit support exists in the tariffed rates				
5		proposed to be reduced.				
6	Q. HAS ANY SC CARRIER OF LAST RESORT REQUESTED FUNDING					
7		BEYOND THE INITIAL PHASE?				
8	A.	Yes. Several COLRs have requested additional funding beyond the Initial				
9		Phase.				
10	Q.	IS THE HIGH COST SUPPORT TO COLRS REVENUE NEUTRAL?				
11	A.	Yes. The PSC Guidelines and Administrative Procedures specifically				
12		address revenue neutrality. In basic terms, the size of the State USF High Cost				
13		support is equal to the revenue reductions the COLR made as a result of reducing				
14		tariff charges which were approved by the Commission and reflected in tariff				
15		filings. In fact the Legislative Audit Council, in its February 2005 report to the				
16		General Assembly, addressed this issue and clearly stated "The fund would be				
17		implemented in a revenue neutral manner - incumbent LECs should reduce rates				
18		that were priced above cost to offset the amount received from the fund." 1				
19	Q.	IS THE STATE UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND HIGH COST SUPPORT				
20		ALSO REPORTED ON A PER LINE BASIS?				
21	A.	Yes. The Commission requires COLRs to submit specific data for each				

Docket No. 2009-326-C

 1 A Review of the South Carolina Universal Service Fund, Report to the General Assembly, at page 9 Chart 1.4 (February 2005).

designated service area which allows ORS to calculate the per line high cost

- support for portability purposes. However, due to the revenue neutrality of the
- 2 State USF and the phased in funding approach, COLRs currently receive State
- 3 USF support based on revenue reductions due to reduced tariff charges.
- 4 O. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REPLY TESTIMONY?
- 5 A. Yes it does.

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2009-326-C

IN RE:)	
State Universal Service Support of Basic Local)	CERTIFICATE OF
Service Included in a Bundled Service Offering)	SERVICE
or Contract Offering)	

This is to certify that I, Chrystal L. Morgan, an employee with the Office of Regulatory Staff, have this date served one (1) copy of the REPLY TESTIMONY OF DAWN M. HIPP in the above-referenced matter to the person(s) named below by causing said copy to be deposited in the United States Postal Service, first class postage prepaid and affixed thereto, and addressed as shown below:

Susan S. Masterton, Esquire Embarq 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL, 32301

Patrick W. Turner, Esquire
BellSouth Telecommunications, Incorporated d/b/a AT&T South Carolina
1600 Williams Street, Suite 5200
PO Box 752
Columbia, SC, 29202

Scott Elliott, Esquire Elliott & Elliott, P.A. 721 Olive Street Columbia, SC, 29205

John. J. Pringle, Jr, Esquire Ellis, Lawhorne & Sims, P.A. Post Office Box 2285 Columbia, SC, 29202

M. John Bowen, Jr., Esquire Margaret M. Fox, Esquire McNair Law Firm, P.A. Post Office Box 11390 Columbia, SC, 29211 Burnet R. Maybank III, Esquire Nexsen Pruet, LLC 1230 Main Street, Suite 700 Columbia, SC, 29202

Steven W. Hamm, Esquire Richardson Plowden and Robinson, P.A. Post Office Drawer 7788 Columbia, SC, 29202

Frank R. Ellerbe, III, Esquire Bonnie D. Shealy, Esquire Robinson, McFadden & Moore, P.C. Post Office Box 944 Columbia, SC, 29202

William R Atkinson
Sprint Communications Company L. P.
233 Peachtree Street
Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA, 30303

Zel Gilbert, Director External Affairs
United Telephone Company of the Carolinas d/b/a Embarq
Embarq Corporation
1122 Lady Street, Suite 1050
Columbia, SC, 29201

Stan Bugner, State Director Verizon South, Incorporated 1301 Gervais Street, Suite 825 Columbia, SC, 29201

John M.S. Hoefer, Esquire Benjamin P. Mustian, Esquire Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A. Post Office Box 8416 Columbia, SC, 29202

Chrystal L. Morgan

November 2, 2009 Columbia, South Carolina